



Advancing Healthy Births RFP Score Sheet

1. Give the application a score of 1-5 points in each of the areas listed.
 - 1 = Not at all
 - 2 = A little
 - 3 = Somewhat
 - 4 = To a considerable degree
 - 5 = Very much so

2. Provide comments under strengths, weaknesses, and recommendations.

Reviewer Name:		
Applicant/Organization:		
	Value	Score
Eligibility		
1. Is the applicant based in or provide services to mothers and/or infants in Region 1? <i>[if no, they are ineligible – do not continue scoring]</i>	Y/N	
2. Does the applicant represent a non-profit organization, local tribal organization, community-based organization, local/county level agency, or business focused on birthing persons and/or birth workers? <i>[if no, they are ineligible – do not continue scoring]</i>	Y/N	
3. Is the applicant a member of the Region 1 (UP) Perinatal Quality Collaborative as evidenced by representative attendance at bi-monthly meetings? <i>[if no, they are ineligible – do not continue scoring]</i>	Y/N	
4. Does the applicant currently provide services or care to an underserved population or population at risk for disparate birth outcomes? <i>[if no, they are ineligible – do not continue scoring]</i>	Y/N	
Education		
5. Does the request support expansion of existing childbirth education classes, implementation of prenatal/childbirth education classes, or training/certification of childbirth educators?	5	
Total education score	5	
Community of Focus		
6. Community of focus is at increased risk for disparate birth outcomes, as supported by data.	5	
7. Community of focus need for outreach and/or intervention is supported by data.	5	
Total community of focus score (add up 4 & 5)	10	
Approach		
8. Clear description of barriers or disparate outcomes addressed by the project/effort.	5	
9. The approach described can be expected to address the barriers or disparate outcomes identified.	5	

10. Does the project/effort described align with priorities of the <i>Advancing Healthy Births</i> plan?	5	
Total approach score (add up 5, 6 & 7)	15	
Evaluation		
11. Clear plan for measuring success of the approach (i.e., methods and tools for each objective).	5	
12. Approach to measurement can be expected to provide useful information on the impact of the strategy (i.e., have a plan for measuring outcomes).	5	
Total evaluation score (add up 8 & 9)	10	
Capacity		
13. Applicant has an established relationship with community of focus.	5	
14. Applicant is well-suited to address the barriers and/or disparate outcomes identified in application.	5	
15. Applicant has personnel, infrastructure, and financial resources in place to successfully implement proposed strategies (e.g., have a financial officer, have experience successfully managing budgets).	5	
16. Proposed activities build on current work within the community of focus.	5	
Total capacity score (add up 10-13)	20	
Budget		
17. Budget is reasonable for the proposed scope of work.	5	
Total budget score	5	
Total Score		
		65
Strengths:		
Weaknesses:		
Recommendations:		

Total Score Interpretation	
Score	
60-65	Excellent
50-59	Very Good
40-49	Moderate
30-39	Fair
<30	Poor